

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2011-09-16
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2011-09-16
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-07-23
Date of Last Revision: 2012-03-29

Agency: 009 - Department of Health and Human Services **Bureau:** 38 - Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: CMS Medicare Program Integrity Support Systems

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 009-000281787

Section B: Investment Detail

- Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

Projects formerly reporting activity in the Medicare Integrity Program (MIP) investment will now report FY 2012 and beyond in this investment. The MPI Support Systems investment is designed to manage CMS efforts to reduce Medicare fraud and improve financial performance by taking advantage of e-government and other existing technological capabilities. MIP closes the performance gap in large part between paying Medicare claims efficiency and paying fraudulent Medicare claims. Without MIP CMS could not insure that critical anti-fraud safeguards were in place and would not have the capability to partner with other Federal agencies in efforts to identify fraud CMS programs and to assess the legitimacy of Medicare payment through e-government and other technologies. MIP is an investment in the control phase of the CPIC life-cycle. MIP is comprised of the following components:

- Desktop tools for viewing summary information about Medicare claims, utilization, and enrollment data,
- User-friendly interfaces to data warehouses that allow all staff to access data vital to anti-fraud efforts without having to master complicated technical skills,
- Systems that provide up-to-date information on problem providers that the staff can use to prevent potential fraud from being committed by known fraudulent providers and
- A system that allows secure exchange of sensitive information on fraud cases under investigation among CMS staff and the law enforcement partners of CMS.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

This investment provides a number of tools that closed existing performance gaps when they were implemented. All systems have been operational for at least 10 years. Before implementation of the tools in this investment, users had to be expert programmers to obtain data needed to identify program vulnerabilities. With this investment easy to use graphic user interfaces allow all program analysts to access summaries and Medicare data or the detailed data that underlies the summary data. In addition, rather than having to track program activities manually and delaying availability of the information for weeks, users now have an automated data entry system that allows entry and retrieval of information on program activities in real time. Finally, the investment provides several systems that allow quick updates to payment prevention systems. These systems assist in minimizing waste, fraud and abuse.

- 3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

The systems in this investment are current used for program planning and evaluation, policy setting, and program management. The reporting systems are being expanded to include more powerful reports. Several of the information retrieval systems are transitioning to platforms that will quicker access to the data they contain and that will allow drill down to a lower level of granularity.

- 4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

During the past year, the reporting systems continue thier expansion to include more powerful reports. Several of the information retrieval systems will continue to platforms that will allow quicker access to the data and that will allow drill down to a lower level of granularity. One of the retrieval systems added access to data on the prescription drug program and ahs had its user base expanded to include partners outside of CMS.one of the retrieval systems added access to data on the prescription drug program and has had its user base expanded to include partners outside of CMS. CMS expects all transitions and upgrades currently in progress to be completed by Fy 2013.

- 5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2004-01-02

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$9.1	\$10.2
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$1.2	\$1.2
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	\$10.3	\$11.4
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	\$10.3	\$11.4
Total Govt. FTE costs:	0	0	\$1.2	\$1.2
# of FTE rep by costs:	0	0	6	6
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$10.3	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)				

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Funding levels did not change

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		FMIB 2553									
Awarded	7530	HHSM500200800439G	GS35F0045U	4730							
Awarded	7530	HHSM500200900002U	26301D0054	7530							
Awarded	7530	HHSM500200700209G	GS35F4797H	4730							
Awarded	7530	HHSM500200800439G	GS35F0045U	4730							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The contracts with no EVM were awarded before EVM was required. CMS will add EVM requirements when new contracts are awarded.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Percent of time system is available during normal working hours.	Percent	Process and Activities - Productivity	Over target	95.000000	95.000000		95.000000	Monthly
Data is up to date as measured by the number of times the date of data does not match the planned date.	Number	Mission and Business Results - Services for Citizens	Under target	0.000000	1.000000		1.000000	Monthly
Percent of special requests answered within 30 days.	Percent	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	0.000000	95.000000		95.000000	Quarterly
Costs remain within budget (measured by percent over or under planned budget).	Percent	Process and Activities - Financial	Under target	5.000000	5.000000		5.000000	Monthly
User base is accommodated; measured by number of calls to the service desk when a user cannot access system due to limited access points (yet system is still functional)	Number	Customer Results - Service Accessibility	Under target	0.000000	0.000000		0.000000	Quarterly